IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

HARRIS CORPORATION,	§	
	§	
v.	§	Case No. 2:18-CV-0439-JRG
	§	
HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC., et al.	§	

DISCOVERY ORDER

After a review of the pleaded claims and defenses in this action, in furtherance of the management of the Court's docket under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16, and after receiving the input of the parties to this action, it is ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. Initial Disclosures. In lieu of the disclosures required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1), each party shall disclose to every other party the following information:
 - (a) the correct names of the parties to the lawsuit;
 - (b) the name, address, and telephone number of any potential parties;
 - (c) the legal theories and, in general, the factual bases of the disclosing party's claims or defenses (the disclosing party need not marshal all evidence that may be offered at trial);
 - (d) the name, address, and telephone number of persons having knowledge of relevant facts, a brief statement of each identified person's connection with the case, and a brief, fair summary of the substance of the information known by any such person;
 - (e) any indemnity and insuring agreements under which any person or entity carrying on an insurance business may be liable to satisfy part or all of a judgment entered in this action or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy the judgment;
 - (f) any settlement agreements relevant to the subject matter of this action; and

- (g) any statement of any party to the litigation.
- **2. Disclosure of Expert Testimony.** A party must disclose to the other parties the identity of any witness it may use at trial to present evidence under Federal Rule of Evidence 702, 703 or 705, and:
 - (a) if the witness is one retained or specially employed to provide expert testimony in the case or one whose duties as the party's employee regularly involve giving expert testimony, provide the disclosures required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B) and Local Rule CV-26; and
 - (b) for all other such witnesses, provide the disclosure required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(C).
- **3. Additional Disclosures.** Without awaiting a discovery request, each party will make the following disclosures to every other party:
 - (a) provide the disclosures required by the Patent Rules for the Eastern District of Texas with the following modifications to P.R. 3-1 and P.R. 3-3:
- If a party claiming patent infringement asserts that a claim element is a software limitation, the party need not comply with P.R. 3-1 for those claim elements until 30 days after source code for each Accused Instrumentality is produced by the opposing party. Thereafter, the party claiming patent infringement shall identify, on an element-by-element basis for each asserted claim, what source code of each Accused Instrumentality allegedly satisfies the software limitations of the asserted claim elements.

¹ The Court anticipates that this disclosure requirement will obviate the need for requests for production.

- ii. If a party claiming patent infringement exercises the provisions of Paragraph 3(a)(i) of this Discovery Order, the party opposing a claim of patent infringement may serve, not later than 30 days after receipt of a Paragraph 3(a)(i) disclosure, supplemental "Invalidity Contentions" that amend only those claim elements identified as software limitations by the party claiming patent infringement.
 - (b) produce or permit the inspection of all documents, electronically stored information, and tangible things in the possession, custody, or control of the party that are relevant to the pleaded claims or defenses involved in this action, except to the extent these disclosures are affected by the time limits set forth in the Patent Rules for the Eastern District of Texas; and
 - (c) provide a complete computation of any category of damages claimed by any party to the action, and produce or permit the inspection of documents or other evidentiary material on which such computation is based, including materials bearing on the nature and extent of injuries suffered, except that the disclosure of the computation of damages may be deferred until the time for Expert Disclosures if a party will rely on a damages expert.
- **4. Protective Orders.** The Court will enter the parties' Agreed Protective Order.
- **Discovery Limitations.** The discovery in this cause is limited to the disclosures described in Paragraphs 1-3 together with the following written discovery and depositions:
 - (a) Written Discovery: Each side may serve up to thirty (30) interrogatories. Each side may serve up to thirty (30) requests for admission. There is no limit on the number of requests for admission each side may serve to establish the

authenticity of a document, provided that such requests for admission directed to document authenticity shall be clearly denoted as such and shall be served separately.

(b) Fact Depositions:

- i. Total Deposition Time: Each side will have up to one hundred twenty (120) hours of total deposition time (*i.e.*, not including expert depositions). There is no limit on depositions on written questions of custodians of business records for third parties.
- ii. Time Limits for Depositions: For individual depositions taken pursuant to Paragraph 5(b), the parties agree to meet and confer in good faith to attempt to resolve requests for time beyond that provided by the Federal Rules without intervention of the Court. The parties further agree that any individual deposition that requires the use of a translator shall be limited to ten and a half (10.5) hours, and only seven (7) hours of which will count against that party's total deposition time, i.e., the actual time in deposition shall be multiplied by 2/3 to calculate the time charged against the party taking the deposition. For corporate depositions taken pursuant to Paragraph 5(b), including depositions taken pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) and third-party corporate depositions, the time limits of the deposition shall not be governed by the seven-hour limit of Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(d)(1) but shall be subject only to the total time limits set out in Paragraph 5(b)(i).

(c) Expert Depositions: The deposition of expert witnesses that issue expert reports on the validity, invalidity, infringement, and/or non-infringement of the patents-in-suit will be subject to the following deposition time limits: seven (7) hours, plus three (3) deposition hours for each patent opined on over three patents. For example, an expert opining on infringement of three patents-in-suit would be subject to a 7-hour deposition; an expert opining on infringement and validity of four patents-in-suit would be subject to a 10-hour deposition.

Except as set forth above, the duration of depositions shall be governed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(d)(1). Thus, while no person shall be deposed more than seven (7) hours in one day absent a stipulation by the parties, if additional hours are allowed as set forward above, the deposition may extend past one day. "Side" means a party or a group of parties with a common interest. Any party may later move to modify these limitations for good cause.

6. Privileged Information. There is no duty to disclose privileged documents or information. However, the parties are directed to meet and confer concerning privileged documents or information after the Status Conference. By the deadline set in the Docket Control Order, the parties shall exchange privilege logs identifying the documents or information and the basis for any disputed claim of privilege in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the other parties to assess the applicability of the privilege or protection. Any party may move the Court for an order compelling the production of any documents or information identified on any other party's privilege log. If such a motion is made, the party asserting privilege shall respond to the motion within the time period provided by Local Rule CV-7. The party asserting privilege shall then file with the Court within 30 days of the filing of the motion to compel any proof

- in the form of declarations or affidavits to support their assertions of privilege, along with the documents over which privilege is asserted for *in camera* inspection.
- 7. Signature. The disclosures required by this Order shall be made in writing and signed by the party or counsel and shall constitute a certification that, to the best of the signer's knowledge, information and belief, such disclosure is complete and correct as of the time it is made. If feasible, counsel shall meet to exchange disclosures required by this Order; otherwise, such disclosures shall be served as provided by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5. The parties shall promptly file a notice with the Court that the disclosures required under this Order have taken place.
- **8. Duty to Supplement.** After disclosure is made pursuant to this Order, each party is under a duty to supplement or correct its disclosures **immediately** if the party obtains information on the basis of which it knows that the information disclosed was either incomplete or incorrect when made, or is no longer complete or true.

9. Discovery Disputes.

(a) Except in cases involving claims of privilege, any party entitled to receive disclosures ("Requesting Party") may, after the deadline for making disclosures, serve upon a party required to make disclosures ("Responding Party") a written statement, in letter form or otherwise, of any reason why the Requesting Party believes that the Responding Party's disclosures are insufficient. The written statement shall list, by category, the items the Requesting Party contends should be produced. The parties shall promptly meet and confer. If the parties are unable to resolve their dispute, then the Responding Party shall, within 14 days after service of the written statement upon it, serve upon the Requesting Party a written

- statement, in letter form or otherwise, which identifies (1) the requested items that will be disclosed, if any, and (2) the reasons why any requested items will not be disclosed. The Requesting Party may thereafter file a motion to compel.
- (b) An opposed discovery related motion, or any response thereto, shall not exceed 7 pages. Attachments to a discovery related motion, or a response thereto, shall not exceed 5 pages. No further briefing is allowed absent a request or order from the Court.
- (c) Prior to filing any discovery related motion, the parties must fully comply with the substantive and procedural conference requirements of Local Rule CV-7(h) and (i). Within 72 hours of the Court setting any discovery motion for a hearing, each party's lead attorney (*see* Local Rule CV-11(a)) and local counsel shall meet and confer in person or by telephone, without the involvement or participation of other attorneys, in an effort to resolve the dispute without Court intervention.
- (d) Counsel shall promptly notify the Court of the results of that meeting by filing a joint report of no more than two pages. Unless excused by the Court, each party's lead attorney shall attend any discovery motion hearing set by the Court (though the lead attorney is not required to argue the motion).
- (e) Any change to a party's lead attorney designation must be accomplished by motion and order.
- (f) Counsel are directed to contact the chambers of the undersigned for any "hot-line" disputes before contacting the Discovery Hotline provided by Local Rule CV-26(e). If the undersigned is not available, the parties shall proceed in accordance with Local Rule CV-26(e).

- 10. No Excuses. A party is not excused from the requirements of this Discovery Order because it has not fully completed its investigation of the case, or because it challenges the sufficiency of another party's disclosures, or because another party has not made its disclosures. Absent court order to the contrary, a party is not excused from disclosure because there are pending motions to dismiss, to remand or to change venue.
- **11. Filings.** Only upon request from chambers shall counsel submit to the court courtesy copies of any filings.

12. Proposed Stipulations by the Parties Regarding Discovery.

- (a) The parties agree there is no need to log privileged communications, or protected work product, dated after the filing of the Complaint in this action.
- (b) The prohibitions set forth in Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 on discovery of drafts of expert reports or disclosures, shall apply whether such draft expert reports or disclosures were drafted in connection with this case or any other judicial or administrative proceeding. The prohibitions set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 on discovery of communications between a party's attorney and expert witnesses shall apply whether such communications were made in connection with this case or any other judicial or administrative proceeding. Draft expert reports, declarations, and affidavits of testifying and non-testifying experts and notes or outlines pertaining thereto shall not be subject to discovery, whether drafted in connection with this case or any other judicial or administrative proceeding.
- (c) Testifying experts shall not be subject to discovery or examination at any deposition, hearing, or trial on any draft of their expert reports, declarations, and affidavits, nor notes or outlines pertaining thereto, whether drafted in connection with this case or any prior or pending investigation, litigation, or proceeding.

13. Standing Orders. The parties and counsel are charged with notice of and are required to fully comply with each of the Standing Orders of this Court. Such are posted on the Court's websiteat http://www.txed.uscourts.gov/?q=court-annexed-mediation-plan. The substance of some such orders may be included expressly within this Discovery Order, while others (including the Court's Standing Order Regarding Protection of Proprietary and/or Confidential Information to Be Presented to the Court During Motion and Trial Practice) are incorporated herein by reference. All such standing orders shall be binding on the parties and counsel, regardless of whether they are expressly included herein or made a part hereof by reference.

So Ordered this

Apr 2, 2019

RODNEY GILSTRAP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

- 9 -